Surgery for gallstone disease during pregnancy does not increase fetal or maternal mortality: a meta-analysis
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Background: Pregnancy was traditionally considered a contraindication to cholecystectomy but is now becoming the favoured option for gallstone-related disease (GRD) during pregnancy.

Methods: To assess if cholecystectomy during pregnancy increases the risk of preterm labour, fetal mortality and maternal mortality. PubMed and MEDLINE databases for the period from January 1966 through December 2013. Studies were both conservative and surgical intervention was utilised in the management of GRD were included. The results of the included studies were pooled using meta-analysis techniques.

Results: Surgical intervention for GRD in pregnancy does not increase the risk of preterm labour, fetal mortality or maternal mortality.

Conclusions: Cholecystectomy during pregnancy for GRD is associated with low complications for the fetus and mother and should be considered in all suitable patients.
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the risk of fetal and maternal mortality and the frequency of preterm delivery.

**Materials and methods**

A computerised search was made of the PubMed and MEDLINE databases for the period from January 1966 through December 2013. The MESH headings “cholecystitis”, “cholecystectomy”, “obstructive jaundice”, “choledocholithiasis”, “laparoscopy”, “laparoscopic surgery”, “biliary colic”, “acute cholecystitis”, “endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography”, and “pancreatitis” were searched. These searches were combined using the term “OR”. Then Medline Subject Heading “pregnancy” was searched. The two searches were then combined using the term “and”. Abstracts of the articles found were scrutinised to identify the original human studies and also to exclude editorials, review articles, and letters to editors. The full text of each of the human studies was obtained and studied. Manual cross-referencing was then carried out, based on the bibliography of articles identified in the original searches, to ensure inclusion of all possible studies. Articles were excluded if they were duplicate studies on the same patient group. Figure 1 demonstrates how the included studies were derived for the study.

**Statistical analyses**

The results of the included studies were pooled using meta-analysis techniques. Fixed effect Mantel-Haenszel models were used where heterogeneity was found to be non-significant. The analyses were performed using Review Manager 5 [REF: Review Manager (RevMan) (Computer program). Version 5.0. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2008.], with P<0.05 deemed to be indicative of statistical significance.

**Results**

Nine studies were identified for inclusion that compared conservative versus operative management for GRD in pregnancy, with a total sample size of 470, all of whom were initially treated conservatively. No maternal mortality was reported in any of the studies. In our study we have focused upon the incidence of premature delivery and fetal mortality.

Figure 2 reports the results of the meta-analysis of premature delivery rates. In total, premature delivery occurred in 5/132 (3.8%) of the patients in the surgical group and 23/338 (6.8%) of those treated conservatively. Heterogeneity was non-significant ($I^2=36\%$, P=0.17), hence a fixed effects model was used. The resulting pooled odds ratio for surgically, relative to conservatively treated patients was 0.67 (95% CI: 0.29-1.56). This was non-significant (P=0.36), hence there is no evidence of a significant difference between the rates of premature delivery between the two patient groups.
Figure 3 reports the results of the meta-analysis of fetal mortality rates. In total, fetal mortality occurred in 2/132 (1.5%) of the patients in the surgical group and 5/338 (1.5%) of those treated conservatively. Heterogeneity was non-significant ($I^2=0, P=0.47$), hence a fixed effects model was used. The resulting pooled odds ratio for surgically, relative to conservatively treated patients was 1.29 (95% CI: 0.30-5.52). This was non-significant (P=0.73), hence there is no evidence of a significant difference between the rates of fetal mortality between the two patient groups. Only one study had a high rate of preterm delivery and fetal loss (14). Apart from this report, there were two preterm deliveries each in the surgical and conservative groups.

**Discussion**

There remains an ongoing debate as to whether patients with GRD should undergo cholecystectomy during pregnancy. Generally laparoscopic cholecystectomy is considered safe during the second trimester of pregnancy because it is associated with fewer spontaneous abortions than in the first trimester (6). Although more recent studies suggest that laparoscopic cholecystectomy can be performed safely in all three trimesters of pregnancy with no maternal or fetal mortality (15,16). Our review did not show a statistically significant effect in fetal mortality and preterm delivery between the conservative and surgery groups. Although not assessed in our review, previous studies suggest that surgical intervention for GRD has increased risks in non-Caucasian ethnicity, older aged patients, obesity, patients with previous abdominal surgery and diabetics (5). Hence patients with GRD and these co-morbidities should be managed with intravenous fluids, intravenous antibiotics and in conjunction with an obstetrician. In the absence of these risk factors surgical interventional should be considered if the symptoms of biliary colic persist or worsen despite dietary changes,
results in multiple hospital admissions or weight loss, intolerance to adequate oral intake, increasing abdominal tenderness and/or patient preference (17). In addition it must be remembered that surgical intervention will reduce hospital readmissions and lead to symptom resolution. Furthermore pregnant patients with GRD should be managed in a centre with neonatology expertise including access to neonatal resuscitation and neonatal intensive care (11).

In Dhupar et al. study 19 patients underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy during pregnancy compared to 39 patients who were managed conservatively for GRD. There was a significant risk of short- and long-term morbidity in the conservative group (17). Cholecystectomy was shown to be safe during all trimesters with no conversion to open surgery required and a low rate of post-operative complications. There was no reported maternal or fetal mortality. This study is further supported by our review. However, our study has not considered the role of conservative, surgical and indeed endoscopic management of complex GRD such as choledocholithiasis (18,19). This suggests that fetal loss maybe disease specific and independent of surgery. In addition, this area of surgical practice is likely influenced by publication bias and this must be borne in mind when analyzing the present review. In addition there are other limitations with the present review including the retrospective nature of some of the included studies and the lack of long-term follow-up of neonates following either surgical versus conservative management.

Cholecystectomy, preferably laparoscopic, for GRD during pregnancy is probably the optimal management of this condition based on available data in term of fetal mortality and preterm delivery (20). However GRD is a manifestation of many different clinical conditions including biliary colic, acute cholecystitis, chronic cholecystitis and pancreatitis. Unfortunately of the 9 studies included in the present meta-analysis, 4 studies did not differentiate between the above conditions or instead studied only one of these conditions. Hence in this review we were unable to perform a sub-group analysis with reference to the different forms of GRD.

The only limiting factor governing operative intervention in GRD during pregnancy should be the surgeon’s operative experience (10).

Conclusions

In summary on the basis of the available evidence cholecystectomy can be considered safe in pregnancy in terms of pre-term delivery and fetal loss.
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