**Serum VEGF level is associated with the outcome of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis**
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**Background:** Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a highly vascular tumor that expresses vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Various studies have evaluated the prognostic value of VEGF levels in HCC, but yielded conflicting results.

**Methods:** Electronic databases updated to June 2013 were searched to find relevant studies. A meta-analysis was conducted with eligible studies which quantitatively evaluated the relationship between serum VEGF level and survival of patients with HCC. Survival data were aggregated and quantitatively analyzed.

**Results:** We performed a meta-analysis of 11 studies that evaluated the correlation between serum VEGF level and survival in patients with HCC. Combined hazard ratios suggested that serum VEGF level had an unfavorable impact on overall survival (OS) (hazard ratio (HR) =1.88, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.46-2.30), and disease free survival (DFS) (HR=2.27, 95% CI: 1.55-2.98) in patients with HCC. No significant heterogeneity was observed among all studies.

**Conclusions:** Serum high VEGF level indicates a poor prognosis for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.
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**Introduction**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most common cancer and the third most common cause of death from cancer and the third largest cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1). Although liver resection is an effective treatment for patients with advanced HCC, the long-term postoperative prognosis remains poor because of the high recurrence rate and lack of effective systemic therapy for HCC patients with metastases. The main prognostic factors are clinicopathological characteristics of the disease, including tumor size, stage, and grade. However, the prognostic factors do not fully predict individual clinical outcome. There is the need for better markers to identify patients with poor prognosis at the time of diagnosis. Researches have focused on the potential role of new biological factors involved in the carcinogenic process as prognostic markers in patients with HCC.

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from existing vasculature, is an important process in many malignancies including HCC. It is the result of an intricate balance between pro-angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors. VEGF (also referred to as VEGF-A, vascular permeability factor) is a critical pro-angiogenic factor in cancer. The role of VEGF in the regulation of angiogenesis is the object of intense investigation for more than a decade. The VEGF family is composed of several subtypes, including VEGF-A, VEGF-B, VEGF-C and VEGF-D.
which exist as numerous splice variant isoforms (2,3). Many anti-angiogenic compounds are being developed, most of which target VEGF and/or its receptors. It is necessary to establish whether VEGF expression is a prognostic marker in HCC.

Many studies have evaluated whether serum VEGF level may be a prognostic factor for survival in patients with HCC. However, the results of the studies are inconclusive and no consensus has been reached. It is unknown whether differences in these investigations have been mostly due to their limited sample size or genuine heterogeneity. Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis of all available studies relating serum VEGF level with the clinical outcome in patients with HCC.

**Materials and methods**

**Search strategy and study selection**

The electronic databases PubMed and CNKI (China National Knowledge Infrastructure) were searched for studies to include in the present meta-analysis. An upper date limit of June 20, 2013 was applied; we used no lower date limit. Searches included the terms “hepatocellular or liver”, “cancer or carcinoma or tumor or neoplasm”, “VEGF”, “vascular endothelial growth factor”, and “prognosis”. We also reviewed the Cochrane Library for relevant articles. The references reported in the identified studies were also used to complete the search.

Studies eligible for inclusion in this meta-analysis met the following criteria: (I) measure VEGF expression in the primary hepatocellular carcinoma serum with RT-PCR or ELISA (reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; NS, not significant; NA, not applicable; HR, hazard ratio)

**Data extraction and quality assessment**

Data retrieved from the reports included author, publication year, patient source, study design, test method, definition of positivity (cut-off value), VEGF positive ratio and survival
data (Table 1). If data from any of the above categories were not reported in the primary study, items were treated as “not applicable”. We did no contact the author of the primary study to request the information. We did not use prespecified quality-related inclusion or exclusion criteria and did not weigh each study by a quality score, because the quality score has not received general agreement for use in a meta-analysis, especially observational studies (4). The data extraction and quality assessment could refer to our previous published meta-analysis (5-8).

### Statistical methods

Included studies were divided into two groups for analysis: those with data regarding OS and those regarding DFS. For the quantitative aggregation of the survival results, we measured the impact of serum VEGF level on survival by HR between the two survival distributions. HRs and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to combine as the effective value. If the HRs and their 95% CIs were given explicitly in the articles, we used crude ones. When these variables were not given explicitly, they were calculated from the available numerical data using methods reported by Parmar et al. (9).

Heterogeneity of the individual HRs was calculated with $\chi^2$ tests according to Peto’s method (10). Heterogeneity test with inconsistency index ($I^2$) statistic and $Q$ statistic was performed. If HRs were found to have fine homogeneity, a fixed effect model was used for secondary analysis; if not, a random-effect model was used. DerSimonian-Laird random effects analysis (11) was used to estimate the effect of serum VEGF high level on survival. By convention, an observed HR>1 implies worse survival for the group with serum VEGF high level. The impact of VEGF on survival was considered to be statistically significant if the 95% confidence interval (CI) did not overlap with 1. Horizontal lines represent 95% CIs. Each box represents the HR point estimate, and its area is proportional to the weight of the study. The unbroken vertical line is set at the null value (HR=1.0).

Evidence of publication bias was sought using the methods of Egger et al. (12) and of Begg et al. (13). Intercept significance was determined by the $t$ test suggested by Egger (P<0.05 was considered representative of statistically significant publication bias). All of the calculations were performed by STATA version 11.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).

### Results

#### Study selection and characteristics

Fourteen studies (14-24) published between 2001 and 2012 were eligible for this meta-analysis. All reported the prognostic value of serum VEGF level for survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. The total number of patients included was 782, ranging from 32 to 120 patients per study (median 78). The major characteristics of the 11 eligible publications are reported in Table 1. The studies were conducted in 5 countries (China, Japan, South Korea, Germany and USA).

All of the studies reported the prognostic value of serum VEGF level for survival in patients with HCC tissue. Of the 11 studies, 9 directly reported HRs (multivariate analysis), while the other 2 studies provided survival curves. Among them, the proportion of patients exhibiting serum high VEGF level in individual studies ranged from 25% to 60%. Estimation using survival curves were segregated according to either OS or DFS. A HR on DFS and OS could be extracted for 6 publications and 9 publications of studies, respectively. Eight of the 11 studies identified high VEGF level as an indicator of poor prognosis, and the other 3 studies showed no statistically significant impact of high VEGF level on survival.

#### Meta-analysis

The results of the meta-analysis were shown in Table 2 and Figures 1, 2. Overall, the combined HR for all 6 eligible studies evaluating high VEGF level on DFS was 2.27
(95% CI: 1.55-2.98), suggesting that high VEGF level in serum was an indicator of poor prognosis for hepatocellular carcinoma. No significant heterogeneity was observed among the studies ($Q=7.39, I^2=0\%$, $P=0.818$). In addition, for OS analysis, statistically significant effect of high VEGF level ($HR=1.88, 95\% CI: 1.46-2.30$) in patients with HCC was also observed ($Q=2.48, I^2=0.0\%, P=0.450$).

**Publication bias**

Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s test were performed to assess the publication bias in the literature. All 6 eligible studies investigating high VEGF level on DFS yielded a Begg’s test score of $P=0.707$ and an Egger’s test score of $P=0.537$, meanwhile according to the funnel plot (Figure 3), the absence of publication bias was found. Moreover, the absence of publication biases were found for investigating high VEGF level on OS (a Begg’s test score of $P=0.917$ and an Egger’s test score of $P=0.699$) (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Members of the VEGF family promote two very important processes in vivo, angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis, which involve growth of new blood and lymphatic vessels from pre-existing vasculature, respectively. VEGF-A exists as a homodimer or can heterodimerize with either VEGF-B or non-VEGF factors such as placenta growth factor (PIGF) (25-27). VEGF-A and VEGF-B promote vascular angiogenesis primarily through activation of vascular...
endothelial cell associated VEGFR-1 (Flt1) and VEGFR-2 (Flk1/KDR). On the other hand, VEGF-C and VEGF-D which are ligands for VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3, promote angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis (28,29).

The present meta-analysis has combined 11 publications including 782 patients to yield statistics, indicating a statistically significant role of VEGF on overall survival, and disease-free survival in HCC. Our data were consistent with the results of a previous meta-analysis (30) published in 2009 that showed an association between VEGF overexpression and poor survival of patients with HCC. This analysis (30) included only 8 studies. We have improved upon that previous meta-analysis by including more recent related studies and by generally using a more comprehensive search strategy. Screening, study selection and quality assessment were performed independently and reproducibly by two reviewers. We also explored heterogeneity and potential publication bias in accordance with published guidelines.

There were several meta-analyses studying the prognostic value of VEGF in other cancer types, such as head and neck squamous cancer (31), lung cancer (32), colon cancer (33), gastric cancer (34), and hepatocellular carcinoma (35). Association of VEGF overexpression with poor outcomes provides a rationale for anti-angiogenics use in the treatment of cancer. VEGF has become a leading therapeutic target for the treatment of cancer. Potentially therapeutic strategies to inhibit VEGF pathway include monoclonal antibodies directed against VEGF, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), and antisense strategies (36). Bevacizumab (Avastin) is a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against VEGF (37). It binds to all isoforms of VEGF-A, thus blocking its binding to VEGFR, but it does not bind to other VEGF molecules, such as VEGF-B or VEGF-C.

The heterogeneity issue was complicated in the systematic review and meta-analysis was. We found no significant heterogeneity among all studies included and subgroup analysis. Another potential source of bias is related to the method of HR and 95% CI extrapolation. If these statistics were not reported by the authors, we calculated them from the data available in the article. If this was not possible, we extrapolated them from the survival curves, necessarily making assumptions about the censoring process. Data for multivariate survival analysis reported in the article were included in the present systematic review and meta-analysis; if these data were not available, data calculated from survival curves by univariate analysis were included. These results should be confirmed by an adequately designed prospective study. Furthermore, the exact value of VEGF overexpression status needs to be determined by appropriate multivariate analysis.

Publication bias (38) is a major concern for all forms of meta-analysis; positive results tend to be accepted by journals, while negative results are often rejected or not even submitted. The present analysis does not support publication bias; the obtained summary statistics likely approximate the actual average. However, it should be noted that our meta-analysis could not completely exclude biases. For example, the study was restricted to papers published in English and Chinese, which probably introduced bias.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis estimated the association between prognostic significance of serum high VEGF level and patients with HCC. As determined in our meta-analysis, we concluded that serum high VEGF level was associated with poor overall survival and disease-free survival, and there is no significant heterogeneity among all studies. To strengthen our findings, well-designed prospective studies with better standardized assessment of prognostic markers should help to explore the relation between serum VEGF level and the outcome of patients with HCC.
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