The potential role of gut microbial products is one of the most highlighted issues in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and type 2 diabetes. Gut dysbiosis and increased intestinal permeability are considered to enhance the translocation of microbial products such as endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide: LPS). This in turn activates toll-like receptors (TLRs) inducing inflammatory changes in target tissues and organs. TLR signaling pathways thus build a bridge between the gut microbiome and host organs. Each TLR detects a specific pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP). TLR4 detects endotoxin from Gram-negative bacteria, while TLR2 detects bacterial lipoprotein and peptidoglycan and TLR5 detects bacterial flagellin. Intracellular TLR3 and TLR9 are activated by microbe-derived nucleic acids (1). In addition to endotoxin, endogenous ligands such as free fatty acids are known to stimulate TLR4, which may predispose to systemic low-grade inflammation and insulin resistance in patients with NASH and type 2 diabetes. Hepatocyte-specific Tlr4 deletion was reported to ameliorate hepatic steatosis, inflammation and insulin resistance in mice fed a high-fat diet (HFD) (2).

Myeloid differentiation primary-response gene 88 (MyD88) works as a central adaptor molecule of TLRs except for TLR3 (3). After the binding of corresponding ligands, TLRs activate MyD88-dependent and -independent signaling pathways, which have been finely delineated by the previous studies on macrophages (4).

There have been several experiments looking for benefits of TLRs or MyD88-targetted therapeutics. A brief summary of these signaling pathways (as shown in Figure 1) may help us to understand the meaning of these gene deletion studies. MyD88 recruits IL-1R-associated kinase (IRAK) 4 and IRAK1, inducing assembly of a multiple protein complex including TNFR-associated factor (TRAF) 6, TGF-β-activated kinase 1 (TAK1) and NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO). TRAF6 and MyD88-independent TRAF3, which are ubiquitinated and degraded, activate a complex of TAK1, TAK1-binding protein 1 (TAB1), TAB2, and TAB3. This phosphorylates IkB kinase (IKK) and MAP kinase including c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNK) and p38. IKK then degrades IkBα leading to translocation of NF-κB into the nucleus. This NF-κB as well as AP-1 induced by JNK and p38 finally enhances transcription of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β (3).

MyD88-deleted macrophages did not produce any inflammatory cytokines in response to LPS (4). Based on results in immune cells, MyD88 has been considered as a key molecule in the development of metabolic syndrome and NASH. Furthermore, MyD88 knockout mice and IRAK4 knockout mice showed profound impairment in the response to microbial components and resulting pro-inflammatory reactions (4). MyD88 deletion in the central nervous system and intestinal epithelial cells protected weight gain and glucose intolerance in mice fed HFD (5,6). It is thus worth investigating how the hepatocyte-specific MyD88 deletion...
affects glucose and lipid metabolism in the liver.

This year, Duparc et al. (7) answered to these questions in their manuscript in Gut, evaluating the changes in glucose, lipid and bile acids metabolism together with gut microbiota and metabolome using hepatocyte-specific MyD88-deleted mice. As a result, they found that the MyD88 deletion unexpectedly predisposed to hepatic inflammation and insulin resistance even in mice not fed on HFD. They considered that these inflammatory responses were related to the changes in gene expressions and transcriptional factor activities such as peroxisome proliferator activator receptor-alpha (PPARα), farnesoid X receptor (FXR), liver X receptors (LXRs) and STAT3, resembling those observed during diet-induced obesity. Although this study may indicate the existence of unknown linking of hepatic innate immunity and metabolism, the authors did not clearly explain why the deletion of MyD88, the supposed key player of inflammation and metabolic syndrome, conversely enhanced general inflammatory and metabolic changes.

To explain these results, we should speculate that the hepatocyte MyD88-dependent pathway may mediate anti-inflammatory responses or hepatocyte MyD88-independent pathway may have pro-inflammatory responses. Strong induction of genes involved in inflammation and acute phase response such as Saa1, Saa2, Saa3, Orm1, Orm2 and Cxcl1 in the liver may be attributed to overproductions of NF-κB-induced pro-inflammatory cytokines, although they were not determined. The comprehensive analyses of MyD88-dependent and independent downstream adapter molecules in hepatocytes may solve this question.

A tentative mechanism to explain these results is added to Figure 1.

It is quite possible that MyD88-independent pathway compensates MyD88-dependent pathway in the hepatocytes with these mice. In fact, removal of MyD88 was reported to enhance TRAM-dependent pathway with enhanced activation of TRAM and IRF3 (8). In relation to the TRAM-TRIF pathway, TRIF can activate NF-κB via TRAF6 and receptor interacting protein (RIP)1 (9). This pathway activates NF-κB and induces the production of inflammatory cytokines in a delayed fashion (10). In the adipose tissue of obese mice and humans, IRF3 expression is known to be upregulated (11) and specific

---

**Figure 1** Possible relations of hepatocyte TLR signaling pathways to metabolic changes, inflammation and gut dysbiosis in hepatocyte-specific MyD88-deleted mice. red upward arrows, increase; red downward arrow, decrease; red?, unknown or undetermined in the study; red??, unexplained conflicting result in the study; TLRs, toll-like receptors; TAK1, TGF-β-activated kinase 1; IRAK, IL-1R-associated kinase; NEMO, NF-kB essential modulator; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; SCFA, short chain fatty acids; PPARα, peroxisome proliferator activator receptor-alpha; IKK, IkB kinase; LXRs, liver X receptors; JNK, Jun N-terminal kinases; CA, cholic acid; TbMCA, tauro-beta muricholic acid; EETs, epoxyeicosatrienoic acids; HETEs, hydroxyeicosatrienoic acids; DHETs, dihydroxyeicosatrienoic acids.
IRF3 knockdown prevented insulin resistance (11). IRF3 is more important compared with NF-κB, as a major regulator of adipose inflammation, maintaining systemic glucose and energy homeostasis (11). A strong inhibition of LXRs in hepatocyte-specific MyD88 deleted mice can be attributable to IRF3, because IRF3 is known to inhibit the transcriptional activity of LXR on its target promoters (12). This IRF3-mediated pro-inflammatory actions can explain the phenotype of this MyD88-deleted mice, although it is unknown if hepatic IRF3 is really upregulated in them.

Hepatocyte-specific MyD88-deleted mice (7) are characterized by depressed hepatic PPAR-α, which may aggravate hepatic steatosis, inflammation and altered insulin sensitivity. There was certainly a decrease in the gene related to the production of epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs), a PPAR-α agonist and insulin sensitizer in these mice. However, is this really the only factor to suppress PPAR-α activity?

Interestingly enough, hepatocyte-specific deletion of Tak1, one of the downstream adapter molecules of MyD88 signaling, has been also proved to reveal hepatosteatosis in mice (13). In this study, hepatocyte-specific Tak1 deletion increased mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1), which is known to inhibit PPAR-α. If upstream MyD88 deletion can predispose to the inhibition of Tak1 signaling, the PPAR-α suppression with liver steatosis and inflammation may be adequately explained. Anyway, suppressed PPAR-α activity causes the decrease in FGF21 expression, inducing the elevation of serum triglyceride and glucose levels (14). FGF21 suppression may enhance STAT3 and disturb hepatic glucose metabolism in these mice, because hepatic FGF21 knockdown increases gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis by activation of glucose-6-phosphatase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase via the STAT3/ SOCS3 pathway (15). If MyD88-dependent pathway really transduces anti-inflammatory signal by preserving Tak1 and PPAR-α in the steady-state hepatocyte, MyD88 deletion may shift the hepatocyte to the pro-inflammatory state as Duparc et al. observed (7).

The effect of MyD88 on bile acids, gut microbiota and metabolome reported by Duparc et al. (7) has certainly indicated a new link between the innate immunity and metabolism in hepatocytes, although there still remain lots of unexplained phenomena. As for bile acids metabolism, the markedly increased Fgf15 mRNA expression in the ileum was quite contradictory to depressed Fgfr4 mRNA and increased Cyp7a1 mRNA expressions, because Fgf15 is known to stimulate Fgfr4 leading to suppressions of Cyp7a1 and bile acid synthesis. Duparc et al. (7) described this as an altered negative feedback loop of bile acid synthesis and I myself cannot find any adequate explanation for this phenomenon. Increased Cyp7a1 mRNA can be explained by the decrease in cholic acid, which may in turn reduce FXR activity resulting in disturbed glucose and lipid metabolism.

The fact that the genetic deletion of MyD88 in hepatocytes changes the gut microbiota composition and their metabolomes, resembling those observed during diet-induced obesity support that the fecal microbiota may have robust adaptability to the intestinal microenvironment related to the suspected metabolic changes in hepatocyte MyD88 deletion. Increased abundance of fecal *Ruminococcus* and *Oscillospira* is considered to antagonize prediabetic and pro-inflammatory states because these taxa produce large quantities of short chain fatty acids (SCFA) which are known to decrease serum levels of glucose, insulin resistance and inflammation in the host. Although the mechanism how the gut microbiome can sense the deranged MyD88 signaling is undetermined in the study, this may suggest that the gut microbiome can compensate for the nutritional state.

This study shows that the MyD88 pathway of hepatocytes may transduce undefined anti-inflammatory signaling, which has not been found in macrophages or intestinal epithelial cells. It is quite reasonable to consider that this anti-inflammatory force is counterbalanced by pro-inflammatory force in hepatocytes in an unstimulated steady state. This balance may be shifted to pro-inflammatory as a whole, when hepatocyte TLR4 is strongly stimulated by LPS, which may explain the contradictory results between hepatocyte Tlr4 deletion and MyD88 deletion.

Taken together, the study by Duparc et al. (7) thus has brought our attention to yet undetermined differences of TLR signaling between immune cells and hepatocytes. The response of hepatocytes to gut microbiota has been focused on the production of antimicrobial innate immunity products until now. This study has proposed a so far-undefined linking of innate immunity to glucose and lipid metabolism in hepatocytes. In this meaning, it has shown us a new horizon in the research field of innate immunity and hepatic metabolism. Finally, this study has left an important issue in the MyD88-directed treatment. The role of MyD88 signaling in related cell should be carefully examined for a successful targeting therapy.
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