Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a common chronic liver disease whose prevalence has reached global epidemic proportions, both in adults and children (1). There is consistent clinical and epidemiological evidence supporting the assertion that NAFLD may progress from a benign histological stage characterized by hepatic triglyceride accumulation, also known as simple steatosis, to a more severe histological picture characterized by liver cell injury, a mixed inflammatory lobular infiltrate, and variable fibrosis named nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (1).

Numerous factors influence the disease severity and progression, including genetic predisposition (2) and aberrant tissue-specific DNA methylation modifications that have been observed not only in the nuclear (1,3,4) but the mitochondrial genome as well (5). Presently, DNA methylation is one of the most extensively studied epigenetic modifications in eukaryotes consisting of a methyl group covalently added to a cytosine, yielding 5-methylcytosine (5mC).

In addition, robust evidence indicates that the progression of NAFLD is associated with both mitochondrial dysfunction (6) and genetic diversity in mitochondrial genes that encode for members of the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) chain (7). Furthermore, environmental factors such as alcohol consumption, even in light or moderate amounts (8), as well as diet and lifestyle (9), are also implicated in the development of a more aggressive histological phenotype. Interestingly, available evidence indicates that lifestyle factors such as exercise may modify epigenetic marks (5).

The histological diagnosis of NASH is based exclusively on liver biopsy, which is currently the gold standard for determining the disease diagnosis and prognosis accurately (10). Unfortunately, this method is not only prone to patient complications, but is also expensive, while requiring extensive training and advanced skills. In the last decade, numerous imaging methods (11) as well as circulating molecular biomarkers, including epigenetic factors such as miRNAs (12), have been proposed, opened up the potential for the non-invasive diagnosis of liver fibrosis and advanced NASH. In fact, we have demonstrated that circulating miRNAs, in particular miR-122, not only mirror histological and molecular events occurring in the liver but have a reliable predictive power, allowing simple steatosis and NASH to be distinguished (12). In addition, we observed that NAFLD has a distinctive circulating miRNA profile associated with a global dysmetabolic disease-state and cardiovascular risk (12).

The evolving implementation of high-throughput OMICs profiling of biological samples—which includes
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genetic variation, metabolomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metagenomics and epigenomics—has directly influenced the rate of biomarker discovery. Unfortunately, integration of this knowledge into the healthcare system is time consuming, while also facing other tremendous challenges that must be overcome.

Hardy and coworkers have recently published a proof-of-concept study involving a small sample of patients, which findings suggest that plasma DNA methylation signatures might reflect the molecular pathology associated with fibrotic liver disease (13). Specifically, the authors showed that differential DNA methylation at two CpG sites in the promoter of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) can be detected within the pool of cell-free DNA (cfDNA) of patients with end-stage liver disease, and may potentially allow stratifying patients with NAFLD into those with mild versus severe fibrosis (13). While interesting and promising, these findings deserve some reflections.

The role of epigenetics in the biology of NAFLD

Epigenetic mechanisms play a critical role in the reprogramming machinery of hepatocytes and other liver cells as a means of adapting to the stressful environment caused by the abnormal accumulation of fat and its consequences, including inflammation and oxidative stress (14). Robust evidence yielded by human studies has demonstrated that NAFLD severity is associated with a consistent pattern in the methylome and transcriptome of the liver tissue characterized by hypermethylation and down-regulation of genes involved in metabolic function (3,5,15) versus hypomethylation and up-regulation of genes involved in liver regeneration, tumorigenesis and tissue repair (15). This damage-associated molecular pattern is translated into a disease phenotype characterized by increased fibrogenesis and metabolic deregulation.

Tissue-specific methylation changes can be detected in circulation: the attractive concept of liquid biopsy

As mentioned earlier, the distinguishing epigenetic modifications associated with NAFLD progression are tissue-specific, as they are observed either in the liver of the affected patients or other tissues, while still having a direct effect on the liver functioning. Whether liver-related aberrant DNA methylation patterns can be directly assessed in the blood by exploring cfDNA, which would enable accurate stratification of liver fibrosis associated with NASH, remains to be demonstrated in large cohorts.

A remarkable study, as a part of which the researchers performed a genome-wide bisulfite sequencing of plasma cfDNA, yielded findings indicating that white blood cells are the predominant contributors to the circulating DNA pool, while cells derived from the liver are sufficiently represented as well (16). This finding certainly supports the potential for the use of methylation modifications in cfDNA as liquid biopsy in the diagnosis and prognosis of liver diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma in particular (16). Nevertheless, the finding suggesting that two promoter CpG sites in PPARγ become hypermethylated as fibrosis severity increases, where this observation served as a surrogate of specific changes occurring in the liver tissue (13), deserves some other considerations. First, cfDNA consists of a pool of mixture DNA released from different tissues of the body, usually as a byproduct of dead—mostly apoptotic—cells. Hence, the question of whether NASH-associated significant fibrosis is linked to significant hepatocyte cell death that in turn explains the source of cfDNA remains unanswered in the study conducted by Hardy et al. Unfortunately, the authors did not present any morphologic or circulating biomarkers of cell death—for instance, caspase-generated cytokeratin-18 fragments (CK-18)—in association with their findings. Extant literature provides some evidence supporting the notion that genetic diversity in genes encoding the ten-eleven-translocation (TET) family of proteins—which are responsible for catalyzing the conversion of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hmC—is involved in the epigenetic regulation of programmed liver-cell death in patients with NASH (4). Thus, the connection between advanced fibrosis and epigenetic modifications that eventually feed the pool of cfDNA in patients with NASH is still not fully elucidated.

Second, the selection of PPARγ as the candidate gene for exploring surrogate liver DNA methylation changes in the blood represents a significant challenge due to the high expression levels of this gene in many different tissues that are strongly associated with the biology of NAFLD and related co-morbidities. For example, under physiological conditions, PPARγ exhibits the highest expression in adipose tissue, and is lower in skeletal muscle, spleen, heart and the liver; however, PPARγ is also detectable in placenta, lung and ovary. A comprehensive list of tissues in which PPARγ is expressed, as well as the magnitude of its expression, is shown in Table 1. Interestingly, in disease states, including the metabolic syndrome and obesity—two conditions
strongly associated with advanced fibrosis in NASH—
$PPAR_\gamma$ is hypermethylated in adipocytes (17). Furthermore,
recent evidence indicates that transient $PPAR_\gamma$ promoter
methylation occurs after common events of ordinary life,
for instance, physical exercise or caffeine consumption (18).
Hence, dynamic changes associated with epigenetic
modifications may specifically jeopardize the selection of
$PPAR_\gamma$ as the “candidate gene” for use as a biomarker of
liver fibrosis.

**Challenges: translating laboratory work into the clinical practice**

The presence of cfDNA in the circulating compartment
was demonstrated three decades ago (19), leading to
the emergence of liquid biopsy. The applications of this
innovative concept in the clinical setting are summarized
in Table 2. However, the implementation of cfDNA
methylation as a non-invasive molecular tool for the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Rank score*</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Developmental stage(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adipose tissue</td>
<td>2.17e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix, EST, RNA-Seq</td>
<td>5 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous adipose tissue</td>
<td>2.64e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>3 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>3.09e4</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>5 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigmoid colon</td>
<td>4.85e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>3 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer of synovial tissue</td>
<td>4.72e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>7 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>5.37e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix, EST, RNA-Seq</td>
<td>7 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate gland</td>
<td>5.38e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix, EST, RNA-Seq</td>
<td>3 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>6.05e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix, EST, RNA-Seq</td>
<td>4 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>6.23e3</td>
<td>RNA-Seq</td>
<td>1 stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal gland</td>
<td>6.42e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix, RNA-Seq</td>
<td>2 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid gland</td>
<td>7.59e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix, RNA-Seq</td>
<td>4 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosa of sigmoid colon</td>
<td>7.77e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>1 stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omental fat pad</td>
<td>7.84e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>1 stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>7.87e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix, RNA-Seq</td>
<td>5 stages*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammalian vulva</td>
<td>8.45e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>1 stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gonad</td>
<td>8.99e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix, EST, RNA-Seq</td>
<td>9 stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jejunal mucosa</td>
<td>9.61e3</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>1 stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal muscle tissue</td>
<td>1.10e4</td>
<td>RNA-Seq</td>
<td>1 stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>1.23e4</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>1 stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery</td>
<td>1.27e4</td>
<td>Affymetrix</td>
<td>1 stage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data was retrieved from the Bgee database, available at http://bgee.org/ that compares gene expression patterns from multiple data
types (RNA-Seq, Affymetrix, *in situ* hybridization, and EST data) in different developmental stages. The Bgee database consists of curate
normal-healthy expression (e.g., no gene knock-out, no treatment, no disease), to provide a comparable reference of normal gene
expression data. *, rank scores: rank scores of expression calls are normalized across genes, conditions and species; *, developmental
stage(s) for liver tissue: HsapDv:0000090, 25 to 44-year-old human stage (human); HsapDv:0000092, human middle-aged stage (human);
HsapDv:0000089, young adult stage (human); HsapDv:0000197, third LMP month human stage (human); UBERON:0000104, life cycle.
Sources of raw data: Affymetrix data, ArrayExpress; EST data, UniGene; RNA-Seq data, GEO. $PPAR_\gamma$, peroxisome proliferator-activated
receptor $\gamma$.  

© HepatoBiliary Surgery and Nutrition. All rights reserved. hbsn.amegroups.com HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr 2017;6(2):117-121
diagnosis of fibrosis imposes tremendous analytical and technical challenges because cfDNA is not only highly fragmented (~170–500 bp) but also circulates at very low concentrations (1.8–44 ng/mL). The fact that exploration of DNA methylation signatures requires a previous step of DNA bisulfitation or other complex and protracted techniques, such as 5mC-containing DNA immunoprecipitation and sequencing, imposes further obstacles to the adoption of this method. The three main pitfalls to overcome before cfDNA methylation could be employed as biomarker of fibrosis are illustrated in Figure 1. Available evidence suggests that a considerable gap between innovation and implementation still exists, preventing definitive affirmation that plasma DNA methylation signatures reflect the molecular pathology associated with fibrotic liver disease. Nonetheless, this is a promising horizon toward which further research efforts should be directed.

Table 2 Liquid biopsy of circulating nucleotide acids in the clinical setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detection of tumor mutations in circulating tumor DNA</th>
<th>Molecular cytogenetic analysis</th>
<th>DNA methylation of genes mutated in tumors</th>
<th>Genetic alterations in tumors: microsatellite instability and loss of heterozygosity</th>
<th>Detection of fetal genomic abnormalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Figure 1 Obstacles to translating the concept of cell free DNA (cfDNA) methylation into the clinical setting for the stratification of liver fibrosis. This image illustrates the three main pitfalls that must be overcome before cfDNA methylation could be employed as a fibrosis biomarker, namely: (I) the ultimate source of cfDNA should be clearly demonstrated, as cfDNA circulates as a pool of DNA originating from dead cells from the entire body, particularly blood cells; (II) cfDNA is highly fragmented (~170–500 bp) and circulates at very low levels; and (III) exploration of aberrant patterns of DNA methylation in cfDNA requires large amounts of DNA to guarantee proper bisulfite conversion of DNA.
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