Introduction

Primary hepatic malignant epithelial neoplasia includes several different types of tumors, amongst which cholangiocarcinoma (CC), 2nd most frequent cancer of the liver after hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). CC is currently classified according to the World Health Organization (WHO) (1) and Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) (2) into two major categories, in reference to the anatomic origin along the biliary tract: (I) intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCC), also assigned as peripheral CC (term that tends to be discouraged today) and representing approximately 20% of CC; iCC develops within liver, topographically from the second-order bile duct (BD) (segmental) and more proximal intrahepatic BD (septal, interlobular BD and ductule); (II) extrahepatic bile duct carcinoma (eBDC), accounting for 80% of CC; eBDC includes tumors arising from large hepatic hilar BD (also called Klatskin tumor) to more distal extrahepatic BDs excluding those arising from Vater's ampulla. As a tumor mass, at time of diagnosis, can extend from the hilum to intrahepatic perihilar parenchyma, the determination of its...
anatomic origin either as intrahepatic or extrahepatic CC may be difficult, or even impossible. Today’s consensus is to classify Klatskin tumor as a form of eBDC separately from the more distal eBDC (3). This current anatomical-based classification of CC rises some problem notably in accurate assessment of epidemiological background, carcinogenesis and patients’ outcome (4,5).

Several other classifications are now emerging based on extent of the disease, gross morphology, histopathology, tumor biology or postulated cell of origin. Although they all provide helpful information, they are not totally yet well-defined or accurate. Therefore, despite important increases in knowledge, further studies are needed to additionally improve iCC understanding and its subclassification (6).

Pathology of iCC

iCC is most commonly an adenocarcinoma with biliary differentiation arising in any segment of the intrahepatic biliary tree, from the peripheral periportal ductules and small portal BDs to the perihilar segmental ducts; iCC may also arise from intrahepatic peribiliary glands (PBGs), hepatic stem/progenitor cells (HPCs) and other cells (see below).

Macroscopic pattern

According to its macroscopic appearance, the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan (7-11) has subdivided iCC into four categories: (I) the mass-forming (MF) type, consisting of a grey-white, well-delimited, firm and solid, non-encapsulated, polylobulated mass within the liver at distance from the hilum and with no connection macroscopically discernible with a BD (Figure 1A); (II) the periductal infiltrating type (PI), characterized by a tumoral growth pattern spreading along intrahepatic portal tracts (Figure 1B), together with stenosis of the involved ducts and upstream BDs obstructive dilatation and cholangitis; (III) the intraductal growth (IG) type, typified by a polypoid or papillary tumor mass growing within the lumen of a dilated large BD; (IV) the mixed pattern (Figure 1C).

MF type is the most prevalent, accounting for about 65% of all iCCs, while PI and IG type are much less common, representing in 6% and 4% of all iCCs, respectively (10,12). Mixed pattern (around 25% of iCC) combines mostly MF and PI types. iCC deriving from malignant transformation of ductules and small portal BDs usually ends preferentially in a MF type, whereas iCC arising within large second-order or segmental intrahepatic BDs usually results in PI, IG or mixed type. iCC may be associated with non-invasive intraductal papillary neoplasm of the bile duct (IPNB) (see below), which extends intraductally and superficially along the surrounding BD epithelium.

At advanced stages, intrahepatic metastases occur consisting of various sized nodules, which may coalesce; regional lymph nodes and lung metastases may arise (13). In addition, at this stage, anatomical classification has some limitations: iCC of PI or IG type associated with an invasive mass (MF) or MF involved by cancerization of a BD (PI or IG), complicating the determination of the initial type of iCC.

Clinical features and biological behaviors of iCC differ among the different macroscopic types (14). MF-type without hilar invasion have after surgery a lower outcome
than PI-type with 5-year survival rates of 41.2% and 85.7%, respectively (P=0.032). PI- or IG-type may be associated with jaundice, intrahepatic biliary fibrosis and cholangitis. Mixed MF- and PI-types is mostly coupled with portal vein invasion, lymph node involvement and positive surgical margins, and patients have often a more unfavorable prognosis, even after complete surgical resection, than those with other types of iCC (14). Lymph node invasion rate of the IG-type is lower than those of the other types (IG: 0%; MF: 16%; MF + PI: 50%; and PI: 66%).

**Histological pattern**

Common histological feature of iCC is well-to-moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma with varying degrees of desmoplasia; often, the abundant stroma is variably distributed (Figure 2A). Tumor center is habitually more densely fibrotic (intermingled only with sparse tumoral cells, combined to occasionally focal calcifications or necrosis), while the periphery has more abundant and proliferating tumoral cells, that infiltrate the surrounding parenchyma either by compression, infiltration along the sinusoids or replacement of hepatocytes in their cords. Portal tracts are coopted within the mass. Portal venules, lymphatic vessels and intrahepatic nerves are often invaded, already at an early stage.

Traditionally iCC is subclassified in classic adenocarcinoma (Figure 2) and rare histological variants such as adenosquamous and squamous carcinoma, mucinous carcinoma (often with mucin visible at cut surface and intraductal-growth pattern, occasionally associated with intestinal type goblet cells), signet-ring cell carcinoma, clear cell carcinoma (with abundant clear cytoplasm), lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma, neuroendocrine type or may have sarcomatous area, mimicking a spindle cell sarcoma (Figure 3).

However based on latest research data, new histological classifications of iCC have been proposed (4) that are summarized in Table 1.

One classification subcategorized iCC in mucin-iCC versus mixed-non mucin iCC (15). Mucin-iCC develops from columnar mucin-producing cholangiocytes that line large intrahepatic BD (segmental and septal BD) and is characterized by mucin-producing CC areas intermingled with abundant dense and hyalinized fibrous stroma. Mixed-iCC develops from mucin-negative cuboidal cholangiocytes lining small intra-hepatic BD (interlobular BD and ductules). Ductules harbor HPCs which can differentiate into both hepatocytes and cholangiocytes and could give rise to iCC (see below). Clinicopathologically, both mucin-iCCs and hilar eCCs are characterized by a predominantly hilar location, smaller tumor size, single tumor and more lymphatic and perineural invasion compared with mixed-iCCs and cholangiocarcinoma (CLCs) (see below) predominantly with peripheral location, occasionally multiple tumors, larger tumor size, less lymphatic and perineural invasion.

Another classification subdivided iCC in conventional versus unconventional subtype (12). Conventional iCC commonly occurs in liver without underlying disease (>85%) and corresponds to a classic adenocarcinoma with biliary features. Unconventional iCC is matter of major research especially on its histogenesis and pathogenesis; by contrast to
conventional iCCs, it most often develops on a background of a non-biliary chronic liver disease and cirrhosis (>55%) and displays five different histological variants (both at architectural and cytological levels): (I) trabecular subtype, consisting of polygonal eosinophilic tumoral cells organized in thick, occasionally anastomosing trabeculae, mimicking HCC. It however varies from HCC by the presence, in tumoral mass, of central fibrosis intermingled with sparse tumoral cells, presence of calcifications and cytologically tumoral cells with indistinct nucleoli, no bile production

Figure 3 Rare histological variants of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCC). (A) Hematoxylin and eosin stain. Adenosquamous variant of iCC showing two components: a squamous component (blue arrow) and a glandular and trabecular component (yellow arrow) (original magnification, ×20); (B) hematoxylin and eosin stain. Dyskeratosis is seen within groups of tumoral cells in the squamous component (original magnification, ×40); (C) hematoxylin and eosin stain. Mucinous variant of traditional iCC containing large tumoral glands lined by a mucinous neoplastic epithelium with luminal mucin accumulation (original magnification, ×10); (D) hematoxylin and eosin stain. Clear cell type of iCC characterized by cells showing an abundant clear cytoplasm (original magnification, ×20); (E) hematoxylin and eosin stain. Sarcomatoid variant of iCC showing large sheets of spindled cells intermingled with few glandular structures (original magnification, ×10); (F) pan-cytokeratin stain. The spindled cells are keratin positive, confirming their carcinomatous nature (original magnification, ×20).
and distinct immunohistochemical characteristics; (II) hilar subtype mimicking typical hilar eCC. This iCC variant displays cords, tubular or large irregular dilated tumoral glands with clear atypical features, hyperchromatic nuclei and mucin production. It shows extensive periductal or papillary intraductal invasion similar to conventional iCC, perineural invasion, and PBGs are often invaded. It most likely corresponds to an iCC originating from large BDs that have progressed into MF iCC, to a PBG carcinoma or to a conventional iCC with secondary involvement of intrahepatic large BDs. Intraductal dysplasia may be present; (III) intraductal neoplasia of intrahepatic iCC of iCCs with predominant “ductal plate malformation” pattern showing irregularly dilated neoplastic glands associated to an important desmoplastic fibrosis (16,17) (Figure 4B,C); (IV) iCC with predominant “ductal plate malformation” pattern showing irregularly dilated neoplastic glands associated to an important desmoplastic fibrosis (16,17) (Figure 4B,C); (V) cholangiolocellular carcinoma (CLC) (Figure 5) (see below).

**Immunohistochemistry**

iCC may further be characterized by immunohistochemistry. Tumor cells are positive for biliary subtype of cytokeratins, namely cytokeratins 7 and 19 but no specific markers still exist. iCC heterogeneity may be underlined by immunohistochemical expression and gene expression profiling (4,12,15). Notably, N-cadherin expression is significantly increased in iCC compared with eCC, with a specificity for the diagnosis of iCC of 88% that may reaches 98% if combined with CK7. Mucin-iCC shows cytoplasmic/nuclear positivity for protein S100, MUC1 positivity, intense cytoplasmic positivity for EMA and EpCAM but no positivity for NCAM (CD56) (15,18,19). Ductular areas in mixed-iCCs and CLCs show similar immunohistochemical profiles to ductules/HPCs; they demonstrate membranous positivity for NCAM (CD56), cytoplasmic positivity for ANX3, EpCAM, and TACSTD2, and apical positivity for EMA. Protein S100 is negative in both the ductular areas of mixed-iCCs and CLCs and ductules/HPCs.

Hepatocytic markers are observed occasionally in iCCs that are otherwise devoid of hepatocyte morphology, such as HepPar1 and arginase 1 (20). Albumin mRNA in situ hybridization (ISH) is a sensitive and highly specific diagnostic tool for distinguishing iCC, in particular mixed-
iCCs and CLCs (positive) from perihilar adenocarcinoma but also from metastatic adenocarcinoma, particularly pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (negative) (15,21).

**Precursor lesions of iCC**

It is now recognized that iCC proceeds through multistep-carcinogenesis; three precursor lesions have been at present morphologically established (22-31): (I) a flat intraepithelial biliary neoplasia or BillN (discernible only at level of microscope) (**Figure 6A**); (II) an IPNB (previously named papillomatosis) (discernible on radiologic imaging or at macroscopic examination (**Figures 4A and 6B**); and (III) intraductal tubulo-papillary neoplasm (ITNB). These lesions are generally observed in large intrahepatic, hilar and extrahepatic BDs, and are infrequently observed in the septal or interlobular BDs. BillN may be seen in peri-biliary glands.

Both take place usually in the context of chronic inflammatory biliary diseases, such as hepatolithiasis, primary sclerosing cholangitis, infestation by liver flukes, as well as congenital biliary diseases. BillN is also observed in chronic HCV hepatic disease. BillN is characterized by a proliferation of cholangiocytes with different levels of nuclear atypia (with increased nuclei/cytoplasmic ratio, nuclear hyperchromasia and loose of cell polarity) and...
plusistratified, flat or micropapillary epithelium. It is sub-
stratified in BillN-1 and -2 (low-grade) and BillN-3 (high
grade). These lesions are commonly observed in the
intrahepatic large BD, PBGs and extrahepatic hilar and
distal BDs. BillN demonstrates a step-wise expression of
S100P and oncogene-related gene, as p21, cyclin D1 and p53
and a decrease of SMAD4. They characteristically give
raise to conventional invasive iCC (tubular adenocarcinoma),
whereas IPNB may progress to either colloid carcinoma
(mucinous carcinoma) or conventional iCC.

IPNB are more and more admitted as the biliary
counterpart of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm
(IPMN) of the pancreas, while intraductal tubular neoplasm
of bile duct (ITNB) is considered as the biliary counterpart
of intraductal tubular neoplasm of the pancreas (23). Both
biliary and pancreatic duct derive embryonically from
the foregut. IPNB is characterized by papillary biliary
proliferation that may be >10 mm (4). Papillae have a
delicate fibrovascular axis and are lined by an epithelium
that may have four different phenotypes: intestinal, gastric,
pancreatobiliary and oncocytic. These lesions may show in
one-third of cases an excessive mucosecretion within the
lumen of the biliary duct. Based on the degree of atypia
of the epithelium, they may be divided in low and high
grade of dysplasia. ITNB is another polypoid lesion with a
predominant tubular growth pattern. The tubular glands
are densely packed back-to-back with occasional papillary
elements or solid areas, growing within BD lumens that
are bordered by cuboidal to columnar mildly atypical cells.
ITNB sometimes contains some necrotic areas, with a
comedocarcinoma-like pattern.

Recent data about cells of origin if iCCs

Recent research has brought up several new insights into
the cellular origin of iCC (referring to a normal cell that
acquires the first cancer-initiating mutation), indicative of
possible multiple cell lineages origin (32,33). Histogenesis
complexity and different molecular mechanisms underlying
the diverse growth patterns of this malignancy is an object
of clinical concern. In this regard, iCC classification based
on cellular origin could take increasingly importance
supported by emerging data revealing differences in
pathological, radiological and clinical findings. This type
of classification could be more in accordance with present
knowledge on epidemiology and risk factors.

It is today considered that iCC can arise from any type of
liver cell due to the cellular plasticity that might be caused
by reprogramming mechanisms. iCC may develop from
intrahepatic epithelial lining of small to large BD and PBGs
(32,33), from malignant transformation of ductules, from
HPCs of canal of Hering or periductal glands stem niche
or through oncogenic reprogramming of adult hepatocytes.
However, these conclusions are largely based on reliance
on results from murine models of lineage tracing systems
which have some known technical limitations.

In human, it is today considered that iCC arises from
topographically heterogeneous cholangiocytes within the
different levels of the biliary tree (32,34-36). Cylindrical
mucin-producing cholangiocytes border large BDs while
cuboidal non–mucin-producing cholangiocytes compose
ductules, where bipotential hepatic progenitor cells (HPCs)
are also located (stem cell niche). MF type of iCC most
likely arises from interlobular BD, ductules and HPCs, located in the canal of Hering. Large BD mucin-iCCs have equivalent clinico-pathological, immunohistochemical, and gene expression profiles to hilar eCCs, and similar profile to cylindrical, taller, mucin-producing cholangiocytes that line hilar and intrahepatic large BDs. In contrast, mixed-iCCs (small BD type) share similarities with CLCs and CK19-positive HCCs.

Until recently, HCC and iCC were considered as distinct clinical and pathological entities with different pathogenesis. HCC commonly arises on a setting of advanced chronic liver diseases as cirrhosis, while iCC mainly arises on the background of a normal liver. HCC and iCC were assume deriving through dedifferentiation from mature hepatocytes and biliary cells (cholangiocytes), respectively. While this remains generally correct, recent insights in pathogenesis of combined hepato-cholangiocarcinoma (a mixed malignant hepatic tumor of the adult, mixed HCC-CC) underlined the possibility of histological and phenotypic overlap between HCC and iCC (see beelow).

Recent data about cholangiolocellular carcinomas

CLC is characterized by a proliferation of very regular and well differentiated ductular glands bordered by only very mildly atypical cells and surrounded by fibrosis, mimicking a ductal plate malformation (Figure 5) (16,37).

A number of observations suggest that CLCs, together with bile ductular (mixed)-type iCCs and CK19+ HCCs represent a group of primitive liver cancers originating from HPCs, the different phenotype depending on the step of hepatic progenitor cell differentiation toward cholangiocytes or hepatocytes, in which neoplastic transformation occurs (4,38).

Classification of this variant of primary liver cancer is a matter of debate and lack of complete consensus (38). It is, by certain authors, categorized into a subtype of iCC (bile ductular adenocarcinoma) as yet in Japanese literature (4,12,16). By others, as in the latest edition [2010] of WHO tumor classification, and because it is thought to originate from HPCs located in ductules/canals of Hering (37,39), it is classified as a subtype of combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma. This concept is actually revisited and the achievement of a consensus terminology is needed in the coming years. Immunohistochemical profile of CLC seems rather in favour of a biphenotypic (hepatobiliary) primary liver carcinoma. Indeed, CLC shares iCC immunomarkers, such as CK7 and CK19, but also HPCs marker (N-CAM) and hepatocytic differentiation marker (HepPar1), helpful for distinguishing CLC from classical well-differentiated iCC (12,16,37,38). First molecular studies on CLCs seem to show surprisingly more homogeneity than the immunohistochemical phenotype, with a profile that is closer to iCC than HCC (40). In view of these aspects, CLCs could be include in a category of tumors in-between conventional combined HCC-CC and iCC: the category of pure iCC with stem cell features and hepatocytic markers expression in immunohistochemistry (38).

Differential diagnosis of iCC

Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma

Combined hepatocellular cholangiocarcinoma (CHC) is a rare tumor representing 0.4–14.2% of primary liver cancer and is characterized by pathological features of both HCC and cholangiocarcinoma (1,41,42). Macroscopically, combined HCC-CC may mimic iCC (Figure 7). Since this entity was first reported in 1949, the classification of CHC has constantly evolved. The latest classification by the WHO relied on the hypothesis that CHC arises from hepatic progenitor cells. Yet, there is no evidence demonstrating the common origin of different components of CHC. In addition, the definition of CHC subtypes is still ambiguous and the identification of CHC subtype when a single tumor contains several components is still unresolved. Finally, the role that plays the different CHC components for prognosis and outcome of this disease is not clear. Currently, according to the WHO (1), CHC is classified into the classical subtype and subtype with stem-cell features. The latest 2010 WHO classification recognized combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma as a mixed tumor with unequivocal and closely intermingled areas of both HCC and cholangiocarcinoma. This tumor is to distinguish from collision tumor where both independent HCC and CC co-exist within the same liver (either at distance or as adjacent tumors).

HCC

Architecturally, while iCC may have trabecular pattern, HCC may be pseudoglandular, potentially complicating their histological distinction. However unlike iCC, HCC does not display true glands or mucin, while it
produces bile, and has habitually prominent nucleoli. Differential diagnosis may be challenging in the case of a fibrotic stoma rich variant of HCC (see below). Immunohistochemically, iCC usually does not express specific hepatocytic markers as AFP, glypican-3, CK8 and 18, BSEP or MDR3 (commonly expressed by HCC) with a few exceptions for HepPar1 and arginase 1 (20), but may rather frequently express, at a mild level, albumin mRNA, while, unlike HCC, it shows diffuse cytoplasmic labeling with polyclonal CEA, monoclonal CEA and CA19-9. A focal expression of CK7 and of CK19 is detected in 15% and 10% to 27% of HCC, respectively. HCC expressing HPC or ductular markers like CK19 emphasis a subcategory of HCC with stemness features and display a more aggressive clinical course. CK19 positivity in HCC is a significant predictor of worse overall survival and of early postoperative recurrence in these patients.

**Scirrhous HCC**

HCC with large zone (>50% of area) of fibrous stroma (scirrhous pattern) may possibly be misdiagnosed as iCC both at imaging as at gross assessment (43). Macroscopically it is frequently characterized by a white-grayish, fibrotic, solid, well demarcated but not encapsulated subcapsular mass. At microscopic level, scirrhous HCC shows diffuse bands of fibrosis along sinusoid-like blood spaces intermingled with tumoral cell trabeculae of variable width. Key feature for diagnosis is tumor cell morphology analogous to regular HCC (Figure 8).

Immunohistochemistry investigations have pointed up a considerable high expression of cytokeratin 7 (>60% of cases) and a significantly lower expression of HepPar1 in scirrhous HCC than in ordinary HCC, underlining a peculiar histogenesis.

**Metastase**

Because of lack of an entirely specific marker, diagnosis of iCC is established by exclusion of metastatic adenocarcinoma. Basic immunohistochemical panel combining CK7, CK20, CDX-2, TTF-1, Estrogen and Progesteron receptors, BRST-2 and PSA should be chosen according to the clinical setting, contributing to exclude hepatic metastases form common primary sites including colon, lung, breast and prostate. iCC is typically diffusely positive for CK7, and negative or only slightly positive for CK20 and other previously cited markers. Metastatic carcinoma from gallbladder, pancreas or upper gastrointestinal tract can’t be easily distinguished neither morphologically nor by immunostainings from iCC. albumin mRNA ISH is a sensitive and highly specific diagnostic tool for distinguishing iCC from metastatic adenocarcinoma, particularly pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (21).

**Bile duct adenoma (BDA)**

It may occur as an incidental finding, possibly confounded with iCC. It was initially considered as a PBG hamartoma.
or a localized reactive ductular proliferation in response to a previous unknown injury. The detection of BRAF V600E mutation in a significant number of BDAs in a study by Pujals (44) has led to consider BDA as a true benign neoplasm. It is typically subcapsular, measuring from 1 to 20 mm, and is macroscopically firm, gray-white, tan or yellow, round and well circumscribed but not encapsulated, most often forming a solitary mass. Histologically, benign, noncystic ductules and variable degrees of inflammation and fibrosis characterize BDA (Figure 9). The immunophenotype of these ductules was similar to that of interlobular BDs, notably with albumin expression reported in 92% of cases (45). The absence of bile and cystic changes and lack of association with polycystic disease of the liver and kidneys are the main features distinguishing BDA from von Meyenburg complex.

Figure 8 Scirrhous hepatocellular carcinoma. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin stain. The carcinoma shows a hepatoid morphology with polygonal tumor cells containing moderate eosinophilic cytoplasm, invading an abundant fibrous stroma, without any glandular differentiation or mucin production (original magnification, ×40); (B) HepPar1 stain. Tumor cells are characterized by a lower expression of HepPar1 in a portion of cells, in comparison with a classical hepatocellular carcinoma (original magnification, ×40); (C) albumin mRNA in situ hybridization (ISH). All of the tumor cells demonstrate an intense cytoplasmic hybridization signal (original magnification, ×40); (D) cytokeratin 7 stain. Tumor cells show a higher expression of cytokeratin 7 in comparison with a classical hepatocellular carcinoma (original magnification, ×40).

Conclusions
Because of increasing incidence of iCC [notably in non-endemic areas of parasitic biliary infection and especially in relation to non-biliary chronic liver disease as chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection], this cancer is today a matter of intense research and reconsideration. On the basis of anatomical location, cholangiocarcinomas were till recently essentially classified into intrahepatic CCs, perihilar CCs and distal CCs. Taking into account its considerable morphological heterogeneity and most likely different pathogenic mechanisms and several unique biological characteristics, several classifications have been proposed. Notably, iCC includes several macroscopic and histologic conventional and unconventional subtypes. Knowledge about iCC histogenesis is thus in broadening.
Latest (mostly experimental) data put forwards that iCC may have multiple cellular origins, including not only intrahepatic cholangiocytes but also differentiated hepatocytes, pluripotent stem cells [such as hepatic (HPCs) and biliary tree (BTSCs) stem/progenitor cells] and PBG.
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