Role of positron emission tomography/computed tomography in living donor liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract: Living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) becomes an important option for treatment for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). With the advance of imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance image (MRI), preoperative staging and diagnosis for HCC was improved in LDLT. However, morphological characteristics based on the tumor number and size do not represent the tumor pathology and prognosis clearly. Therefore, many criteria using various markers have been reported, but still have limitation to predict the pathology and prognosis. Recently, 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography/CT (18F-FDG PET/CT) was introduced to have the usefulness for detection of extrahepatic metastases and prediction of post-transplant prognosis in liver transplantation (LT). Furthermore, the hybrid concept with both biologic activity using PET/CT and morphologic tumor characteristics using CT and MRI was reported to be selection criteria for the patient with HCC waiting LDLT. We will discuss the role of 18F-FDG PET/CT in LDLT as various aspects including tumor detection, pathology prediction, prognosis prediction, and possibility of selecting criteria.
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Introduction

Living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) has been established as an effective treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in the era of a critical shortage of deceased donors (1). For selecting appropriate the patients with HCC for liver transplantation (LT), many criteria were suggested based on tumor morphology including size and number (2,3). The representative criteria were the Milan criteria (a solitary tumor no more than 5 cm in diameter, or two or three tumors no more than 3 cm in diameter, no extrahepatic metastasis and major vessel invasion) and the University of California at San Francisco (UCSF), (a solitary tumor no more than 6.5 cm in diameter or two or three tumors with the largest diameter being no more than 4.5 cm and the sum of the diameters being no more than 8 cm, no extrahepatic metastasis and major vessel invasion). In recent, these criteria have been widely accepted to selecting patients with HCC waiting deceased donor LT. However, in Asian countries such as Korea and Japan, the LDLT has become an important option for treatment in patients with HCC, and the amount of experience and evidence on LDLT for HCC has been increased (1,4). The selection criteria for LT have gradually been expanded in large-volume centers, and the good outcome of LDLT for advanced HCC has been
reported (5-7).

On the base of these experiences, the expanded criteria using tumor morphology as well as tumor biology have been reported as the Milan criteria using tumor size and number was too restrictive and limited to apply to LDLT. In LDLT setting, although the patients had the advanced HCC beyond the Milan criteria, the operation could be performed as the willingness of donor and informed consent. Therefore, many criteria using various indicators α-fetoprotein (AFP), protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist-II (PIVKA-II), 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (18F-FDG PET/CT) and tumor morphology have been proposed (5,8-14). In HCC patients, tumor characteristics, including differentiation grade and microvascular invasion, are well-known independent prognostic factors for overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) following LT (15). However, these factors were hard to be evaluated by preoperative imaging tools, which reveal the morphological characteristics such as number and size. Furthermore, some biomarkers including AFP, PIVKA-II, and genomic data had the limitation to predict the tumor pathologic characteristics.

Recently, several studies using 18F-FDG PET/CT in LDLT demonstrated the usefulness of PET/CT to detect the extrahepatic metastases and synchronous malignant neoplasm as well as recurrence of HCC with good performance (5,10-12,16-19). In HCC patients, PET/CT imaging before surgery or transplantation was not popular in worldwide due to cost-effective or technical issues. However, some major centers have continued to report the usefulness of PET/CT in LDLT patients, and so we reviewed PET/CT role in LDLT according to some important aspects.

**Role of HCC detection using PET/CT in LDLT**

To identify HCC before surgery or transplantation, most common imaging tools were CT, dual-contrast magnetic resonance image (MRI), and ultrasonography (US) (20-24). Recent MRI using hepatobiliary-specific contrast medium such as gadoxetic acid is primarily used to improve detection and characterization of HCC (25,26). This imaging feature can detect very small lesions less than 1 cm and assists in differentiating regenerative/dysplastic nodules from early HCCs with over 90% accuracy.

Recently, whole-body PET/CT usually used 18F-FDG effectively to detect numerous cancerous lesions including HCC (27). However, liver tissue showed the high level of gluco-6-phosphatase and release the FDG-6-phosphate. This phenomenon demonstrated the reduced discrimination between normal liver tissue and well-differentiated HCC. Thus, 18F-FDG PET/CT showed average false-negative rate of 40–50% for the detection of HCC (28). Teeffy et al. reported the comparative results among CT, MRI, US, and PET in liver transplant candidate to detect primary hepatic malignancy (29). They examined 25 patients as liver transplant candidates, and the results were interpreted independently by two radiologists. HCC was diagnosed in nine patients. US diagnostic performance was superior to that of CT and MRI. Sensitivities were higher for US (0.89) than they were for CT (0.67), MRI (0.56), PET (0). Therefore, they concluded that PET did not depict any HCC and was not useful tool for detection of HCC in LT. Some authors used the 11C-labeled acetate PET/CT to detect HCC. 11C-labeled acetate PET/CT effectively detects urologic malignancies (30). This enters the Krebs cycle as a substrate for β-oxidation in fatty acid synthesis and cholesterol synthesis. Fatty acid synthesis is major mechanism for uptake of 11C-acetate by liver tumors. Park et al. showed the detection rate of primary liver tumors using 18F-FDG and 11C-acetate PET/CT in 120 patients (99 with HCC, 13 with cholangiocarcinoma) (31). They resulted that the overall sensitivities of 18F-FDG, 11C-acetate, and dual-tracer PET/CT in the detection of 110 lesions in 90 patients with primary HCC were 60.9%, 75.4%, and 82.7%, respectively. However, the overall sensitivities of 18F-FDG, 11C-acetate, and dual-tracer PET/CT for 35 metastatic HCCs were 85.7%, 77.0%, and 85.7%, respectively. They concluded that the addition of 11C-acetate to 18F-FDG PET/CT increased the overall sensitivity for the detection of primary HCC but not for the detection of extrahepatic metastases. Cheung et al. also reported 11C-acetate and 18F-FDG PET/CT for clinical staging and selection of patients with HCC for LT on the basis of Milan criteria (32). They enrolled the patients with HCC who underwent dual-tracer PET/CT (22 patients in LT, 21 patients in hepatectomy). Dual-tracer PET/CT performed equally well in both LT and partial hepatectomy groups for HCC detection (94.1% and 95.8%) and TNM staging (90.9% and 90.5%). In cirrhotic liver, dual-tracer PET/CT showed better sensitivities for detection of primary tumor than contrast CT. They concluded that the inclusion of dual-tracer PET/CT in pretransplant workup may warrant serious consideration.

However, 11C-acetate PET/CT is not popular in
clinical field. PET/CT still has relatively serious limitation to detect HCC within liver compared to other modalities like contrast dynamic CT, liver specific MRI, and US. Therefore, in practice, the use of PET/CT in patient with HCC focused the detection of metastatic HCC and other hidden malignant neoplasm except the liver. In our institute, we started the pretransplant 18F-FDG PET/CT to check other metastatic lesions easily and rule out the hidden other malignancies. We found the several popular malignant neoplasms in Korea, such as thyroid cancer, stomach cancer, and so on. With these experiences, we could apply the PET/CT to other aspects including prognosis, pathology prediction, and criteria.

### Predicting pathologic results

Before LT, many efforts to predict the pathology have been performed as the pathologic data are not routinely available. The invasive fine needle biopsy results before LT has the risk of complications including bleeding and does not show any correlations with explant pathology (33). As HCC has microscopic heterogeneity within one nodule, the assessment of pathology is almost impossible until the whole specimen is reviewed. Therefore, during several decades, tumor morphological characteristics including number and size by preoperative imaging modalities were used to predict the aggressiveness of pathology. However, tumor number and size was very limited to predict important pathologic variables including microvascular invasion and differentiation. Recently, biomarkers, response to transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), gene-expression profile, PET/CT, AFP, and PIVKA-II, have been used for the assessment of tumor aggressiveness (5,10-12,34-39).

In particular, 18F-FDG PET/CT represented the biologic status of tumor aggressiveness and showed good correlation with explant pathology in HCC (Table 1). For the accuracy of detection of microvascular invasion in PET/CT, the range was 68.3–88.1%. The accuracy of detection of tumor differentiation in PET/CT was 54.7% to 71.4%. In Lee et al.’s study including large number of patients, the sensitivity and specificity of microvascular invasion, differentiation, major vessel invasion, serosal invasion, and intrahepatic metastasis were 40.7%/74.5%, 51.9%/79.9%, 86.4%/72.5%, 61.3%/76.2%, and 43.8%/73.9%, respectively. According to these findings, PET/CT in LT patient with HCC did not show strong correlation in aspect of microvascular invasion and differentiation. This is another limitation not to replace the morphological characteristics to predict the prognosis or explant pathology. However, PET/CT showed the possibility predicting the biological activity and tumor aggressiveness demonstrating the accuracy around 70–90%. This tool is helpful to supplement the disadvantage of prediction with only tumor morphology before LT.

### Predicting tumor recurrence

Beyond the association with explant pathology, PET/CT showed the results highly related to tumor recurrence and patient’s prognosis. The definition of PET/CT positivity was not clear because background liver also demonstrated highly uptake of 18F-FDG. Although experienced nuclear medicine doctor’s reports were important, the value of maximum standardized uptake value (SUV\textsubscript{max}), ratio of tumor to normal-liver SUV\textsubscript{max}, and uptake-volume products have been objective indices to defined the PET/
CT positivity of tumor (16). Among them, \( \text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \) was popular as a main factor to define the PET/CT positivity. Several studies showed the poor overall and recurrence-free survival in PET/CT positive patients with HCC after LT (Table 2). DFS with PET/CT positive patients showed the various range around 40–50% at 3 years after LDLT. These were poor outcome compared to that with PET/CT negative patients, which showed around 90% at 3 years after LDLT. In particular, the gap in patients beyond the Milan criteria was widen compared to patients within the Milan criteria. Lee et al. showed the difference of 35.8% in patients beyond the Milan criteria according to PET/CT positivity (5). In patients within the Milan criteria, there was the difference of only 16.0% between PET/CT positive and negative patients. Lee et al. suggested that PET/CT findings were more important in patients with advanced HCC. Even though the patients had advanced HCC, it could be an indicator to select the patients with good prognosis as the patients beyond the Milan criteria without PET/CT positivity showed relatively good 5 years DFS with 73.3%. Patients with HCC beyond the Milan criteria with a PET/CT negative status and total tumor size less than 10 cm showed similar OS and DFS in comparison with those with HCC within the Milan criteria. Furthermore, in the analysis of 191 patients with PET/CT after LDLT, 20 patients (10.5%) showed early recurrence (less than 6 months after LDLT). PET/CT positive status was identified as an independent prognostic factor for DFS influencing early recurrence in multivariable analysis (HR =3.945, P=0.024) (10). This means that PET/CT reflects the tumor aggressiveness and early tumor recurrence after LDLT. Furthermore, positive uptake of PET/CT has been observed in poorly differentiated HCC and presence of microvascular invasion. These findings demonstrated that biologic aggressiveness represented by PET/CT is an important factor for predicting early tumor recurrence. In these patients with PET/CT positivity, close follow-up should be needed in postoperative period of LDLT. Kim et al. reported the usefulness of 18F-FDG PET/CT for detecting recurrence of HCC in posttransplant patients (17). Among 93 patients with LDLT, ten patients were recurred. And PET/CT showed 92.9% accuracy to find the extrahepatic metastases over 1 cm including 100% detection rate in bone and the lymph nodes and 60% in the lungs. In spite of limitations for small lesions, they concluded that PET/CT could provide additional information beyond that provide by conventional modalities. Like these results, PET/CT is a very useful tool to predict the prognosis such as early recurrence and DFS and detect the HCC recurrence in extrahepatic lesions. Furthermore, in advanced HCC patients, PET/CT showed the possibility for selecting patients to LDLT with comparable prognosis.

### The possibility of selecting criteria in LDLT

Over past decades, the Milan criteria have been regarded as a well-established tool for assessing the prognosis of HCC for LT. However, the limitations including narrow selection range and inaccurate assessment using preoperative CT imaging modality have been criticized by many researchers.
and clinicians, especially in LDLT. LDLT has the special situation easy to expand the criteria for HCC. In some centers, they perform the LDLT beyond the Milan criteria over 50% in their total cases (5). These LDLT beyond the Milan criteria have given the important data about the presence of patients showing good prognosis. Especially, PET/CT plays an important role in advanced HCC patients. As simple morphologic characteristics have the limitation to reflect the tumor aggressiveness correctly, the assessment of biologic activity by PET/CT could represent the chance of tumor recurrence and hidden micro-metastases more correctly than traditional imaging modalities such as CT, MRI, and US. Furthermore, Freeman et al. reported that the overall preoperative accuracy using imaging tests was only around 50%, regardless of the radiological methods used (40). Therefore, we need new methods to predict tumor aggressiveness in HCC replacing traditional criteria based on tumor morphology. Until now, PET/CT could be the strong alternative to be one of the factors for selecting criteria in LDLT for HCC.

Lee et al. showed the possibility of PET/CT as a selecting criteria (5). The advanced HCC patients with PET/CT positivity and tumor total size over 10 cm showed comparable survival in comparison with the patients within the Milan criteria. These criteria could be expanded to all patients with HCC for LDLT. These criteria used both morphologic and biologic factors as a hybrid concept. It could be possible to expand the criteria and predict the prognosis more clearly compared to traditional morphological criteria. We reanalyzed our data using new criteria with PET/CT and total tumor size as National Cancer Center Korea (NCCK) criteria. Among 280 patients (Mar 2005 to May 2013), 164 (58.6%) patients fulfilled the NCCK criteria and 132 patients (47.1%) met the Milan criteria. Five-year overall and DFS rates for patients who met the NCCK criteria showed 85.2% and 84.0%, respectively, and were significantly higher than those beyond the NCCK criteria (0.2% and 44.4%, respectively; P<0.001). The correlation analysis between preoperative imaging tests and pathologic reports demonstrated the better results in the NCCK criteria than those in the Milan criteria (Cohen's Kappa, 0.850 vs. 0.583, respectively). These new criteria could be the substitution for the traditional criteria in HCC for LDLT. Although PET/CT is not a popular test in HCC for LDLT until now, the usefulness of PET/CT for detecting metastasis and predicting prognosis might be expanded with new tracer and technology.

**Conclusions**

PET/CT is an important test for the preoperative work-up in patients with HCC for LDLT. According to PET/CT results, we could predict the tumor aggressiveness in especially advanced HCC and detect the hidden malignancies and extrahepatic metastases missed by other imaging modalities. The use of PET/CT for patients with HCC should be expanded in LDLT field, and PET/CT could be the criteria to select the patients with HCC for LDLT replacing the traditional morphologic based criteria.
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